


From: [Sheldrake, Sean](#)
To: "BAYUK Dana"
Subject: RE: Follow up, Gasco dredging verification approach (sf)
Date: Thursday, January 11, 2018 1:36:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Dana, I'm sure they'll be another opportunity all too soon... You too! S

Sean Sheldrake, Unit Diving Officer, RPM
EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900; Mailstop DOC-01
Seattle, WA 98101
206.553.1220 desk
206.225.6528 cell
<http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/sites/ptldharbor>



Over 47 years of scientific diving in support of EPA's mission

From: BAYUK Dana [mailto:Dana.BAYUK@state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2018 1:32 PM
To: Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Follow up, Gasco dredging verification approach (sf)

Hey Sean...the long calls to discuss the Gasco Pre-RD work plan keep me out of trouble for almost half a day! ;-)

Only wish my schedule had allowed me to attend in person. Haven't seen the people in the room in awhile, and haven't met many (Karl, Paul S., John K.). Look forward to the next time the meeting occurs here.

Hope your day is going well and you have a great weekend.

Dana

From: Sheldrake, Sean [<mailto:sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2018 12:26 PM
To: NOVAK Madi
Cc: BAYUK Dana; Lance Peterson
Subject: Re: Follow up, Gasco dredging verification approach (sf)

Thanks very much Madi and Dana, makes sense to me. We'll plan accordingly.

Appreciate you guys hanging in there on the phone yesterday. S

Sean Sheldrake

Unit Diving Officer/ RPM
206.225.6528

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2018, at 10:22 AM, NOVAK Madi <Madi.NOVAK@state.or.us> wrote:

Hi Sean,

Nice job in the public meeting yesterday!

Dana let me know that, after I had to jump off the NW Natural call, you asked DEQ whether we thought the presentation would be of interest to the TCT. I agree with Dana's response that presenting the information at an upcoming TCT meeting would be a good idea. The pre-design and verification sampling approach is complex and not easy to understand without schematics and the ability to ask questions for clarification, so dedicating some time to a presentation would certainly be helpful. I also think it would go a long way to demonstrate transparency in the wake of the pre-design AOC negotiation kerfuffle. I understand that it's NW Natural's intent to conduct pre-design sampling as soon as possible, and it would be good to present the information well before implementation. Finally, I think it makes sense to roll out the approach and rationale for the pre-design sampling at the same time as the post-verification sampling discussion, so folks get a complete picture of the scope of sampling. I suppose there is some question as to whether to wait until we have a preliminary decision matrix that shows how and when decisions will be made about where to dredge, cap, place RMC, etc., but I think I fall on the side of presenting the sampling scope first, and the decision matrix second, because it is a lot to take in all at once.

Feel free to call if you'd like to discuss or catch up on anything else - lots of meetings and topics covered yesterday.

Thanks!
Madi